



ROTHER DEVELOPMENT AND SITE ALLOCATIONS LOCAL PLAN

SCHEDULE OF PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS

March 2019

Rother District Council
Town Hall
London Road
Bexhill-on-Sea
East Sussex
TN39 3JX

www.rother.gov.uk

28 March 2019

This document was updated to include an accessibility statement in the box below, as well as footers and page numbers.

There have been no material changes to the document and its content.

This information can be available in large print, Braille, audio/CD or in another language upon request.

Please telephone: (01424) 787668

Email: planning.strategy@rother.gov.uk

Development and Site Allocations Local Plan – Schedule of Proposed Modifications

Page	Paragraph	Amendment	Reason
5-8	Lists: Development Policies, Site Allocation Policies, Policies Map Inset Maps	Add page numbers to the lists of Development Policies, Site Allocation Policies and Policies Map Inset Maps on pages 5-8 of the DaSA. <u>Please see Appendix 1 for the amended lists of Development Policies, Site Allocation Policies and Policies Map Inset Maps.</u>	Improvement of document usability.
13	Paragraph 1.16	Add the following text after the second sentence of paragraph 1.16: <i>The Waste and Minerals Plan includes, inter alia, the safeguarding of minerals sites, wharves and railheads across the county, including some within Rother district.</i>	Inclusion of reference to the safeguarding of minerals sites, wharves and railheads to ensure the introduction as overview provides all key information.
57	Policy DHG12	Insert an additional policy criterion: <i>(iv) either, they are constructed of permeable materials, or appropriate drainage is included to manage surface water run-off in accordance with Policy DEN5.</i>	Rainwater run-off is covered at paragraph 4.109 of the supporting text. However, for the avoidance of doubt an additional policy criterion to manage rainwater run-off should be included.
59	Paragraph 5.2	Add the following additional wording to the end of paragraph 5.2: <i>(It should be noted that Highways England, which has responsibility for the Strategic Road Network, does not allow advertisements within its highway boundary. This is relevant to the A259 and A21.)</i>	Addition of information to properly reflect the restrictions of Highways England.
64	Policy DEC2	Delete policy criterion (ii) (first limb) and replace	To prevent confusion of criterion (ii) (first limb)

		with: <i>(ii) Support the conservation of biodiversity in accordance with DaSA Policy DEN4.</i> <i>(ii) conserve or enhance sensitive habitats and species.</i>	in light of Policy DEN4 (ii) (Biodiversity and Greenspace).
69	Policy DEC3	Amend criterion (ii) to read: <i>(ii) Permitting intensification, conversion, redevelopment and/or extension having regard to where they accord with other policies of the Plan;</i>	To re-word the policy to reflect the normal expectation of accordance with other policies.
83	Paragraph 6.34	Delete the reference to East Sussex County Council from the second sentence of paragraph 6.34, i.e. <i>Information on locally designated sites is available from the Sussex Biodiversity Records Centre. and East Sussex County Council.</i>	Incorrect information
85	Policy DEN4	Delete the words “seek to” in the first line of part (ii) of the policy, i.e. <i>(ii) development proposals should seek to conserve and enhance the biodiversity value of...</i>	To accord with paragraph 170 of the NPPF
84	Paragraph 6.43	Amend and add to the first sentence of paragraph 6.43 of the supporting text, as follows: <i>Ecological surveys and reports will be required to be submitted with planning applications for major</i>	To align more closely with the PPG (Paragraph: 016 Reference ID: 8-016-20140612).

		<i>development; or where the development impacts on any designated site, Priority Habitat or protected species. <u>proposals which impact on a designated site or Priority Habitat; and proposals where there is a reasonable likelihood of a protected or Priority species being present and affected by the development. Assessments should be proportionate to the nature and scale of development proposed and the likely impact on biodiversity.</u></i>	
83	Paragraph 6.37-8	<p>Add the following text underneath paragraph 6.37:</p> <p><u>Priority Habitats and Species</u></p> <p>6.38 As noted above, Priority Habitats and Species (also referred to as “Habitats and Species of Principal Importance”) are those listed under Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. Those habitats and species on the list are conservation priorities and are used to guide decision-makers in implementing their statutory duty to have regard to the conservation of biodiversity when carrying out their normal functions. Priority Habitats within Rother include woodland, maritime cliff and slopes, hedgerows and lowland meadows, and Priority Species include hedgehog, common toad, house sparrow, brown-banded carder bee and pennyroyal.</p>	Additional explanatory paragraph added to give further information on Priority Habitats/Species and Habitats/ Species of Principal Importance.
85	DEN4	<p>Additional wording to be added to the first sentence of part (ii) of the policy as follows:</p> <p><i>(ii) development proposals should seek to conserve and enhance the biodiversity value of international,</i></p>	Reference to protected species should be included for completeness of the policy.

		<i>national, regional and local designated sites of biodiversity and geological value; irreplaceable habitats (including ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees); <u>protected species and Priority Habitats and Species, both within and outside designated sites.</u></i>	
87	Paragraph 6.54	Add the following wording after the first sentence of paragraph 6.54: <i>... potential for wider benefits. <u>SuDS should be linked up where possible to achieve greater benefits for water management and wildlife.</u> CIRIA's SuDS Manual C753 and the latest guidance from the LLFA will be key references.</i>	Additional information required.
87	Paragraph 6.56	Add the following sentence to the end of paragraph 6.56: <i>... will require consent from the LLFA. <u>It is noted that the highway authorities (including Highway England in respect of the SRN) have strict controls on water run-off into the highway drainage system.</u></i>	Additional guidance required.
99	DEN7	1. Delete the wording "contaminated land" in the second sentence of paragraph 6.78 and replace with "land contamination". 2. Delete the heading "Contaminated Land" above paragraph 6.96 and replace with "Land Contamination". 3. Delete the wording "contaminated land" in the first sentence of the policy and replace with "land contamination", i.e.:	To ensure the correct terminology is used.

		<i>... as a result of lighting, noise, odour, contaminated <u>land contamination</u>, hazardous and non-hazardous substances...</i>	
116	Paragraph 9.25	Add the following sentence at the end of paragraph 9.25: <i>'A buffer of at least 15m should be retained between development and the adjacent Ancient Woodland.'</i>	To ensure the supporting text accords with criterion (v) of BEX1 and the Detail Map (Figure 15)
117	Paragraph 9.27	Delete the words ' <i>prior to occupation</i> ' from paragraph 9.27.	To accord with the acceptance of temporary solutions that was determined at the outline planning permission stage (RR/2017/2181/P).
119	Paragraph 9.34	Amend the Last sentence of paragraph 9.34 to read: <i>In addition, a central greenspace incorporating the pond with a children's play space should be provided for, as well as landscaping throughout the site, including the provision of buffer zones <u>of at least 15m to the ancient woodland to the north and south</u>, and ecological mitigation will also be required.</i>	To provide additional clarity to criterion (iv) of Policy BEX2 and the Detail Map (Figure 20)
127	Policy BEX3b	Amend policy criteria (iv): <i>(iv) trees on the western boundary are retained and safeguarded, <u>alongside a buffer to protect the Ancient Woodland of at least 15m depth;</u></i>	It is considered appropriate to explicitly state in the policy that this buffer is required.
127	Policy BEX3b	Amend Policy BEX3b to clarify: <i>iii) access is from Watermill Lane, the details of which will be subject to a findings of a Transport Assessment, with the expectation that:</i>	To accord with BEX3c

		<p><i>(a) A single access will be provided where appropriate sight lines can be achieved;</i></p> <p><i>(b) <u>traffic management measures are introduced on Watermill Lane to calm traffic, with specific regard to the short section north of Mayo Lane and to ensure the safe movement of pedestrians and cyclists between the site and Sidley in association with the provision of a new footway/cycleway</u> Provision is made for a new footway/cycleway along most of the length of the Lane from the Mayo Lane junction to the stream (to dovetail with requirements under Policy BEX3c);</i></p>	
130	Figure 23	<p>Amend Figure 23: Policy BEX3c Detail Map to include NBAR.</p> <p>This also applies to: Figure 19: Policy BEX1 Detail Map Figure 21: Policy BEX3a Detail Map Policies Map Inset Map 1b: North Bexhill</p> <p><u>Please see Appendices 3a, 3b, 3c & 3d for the relevant amended maps.</u></p>	<p>Cartographical update: The map should include NBAR for completeness.</p>
131-132	Paragraph 9.60-961	<p>New paragraph at between 9.60 and 9.61 (and subsequent consequential paragraph number amendments):</p> <p><i>“Additional reinforcement of the sewerage network will be required to serve the development and therefore, the developer will need to work with Southern Water to ensure necessary infrastructure is provided prior to occupation”</i></p>	<p>To properly acknowledge that wastewater capacity is limited.</p>

132	Policy BEX4	Additional policy criteria added between (ii) and (iii) to the policy BEX4 (and subsequent consequential criteria number amendments): <i>“Provision is made for an acceptable connection to the local sewerage system, in agreement with the service provider”</i>	To properly acknowledge that wastewater capacity is limited.
134	Paragraph 9.68	Amendment proposed to the second sentence at paragraph 9.68: <i>The Council subsequently granted a revised scheme in 2014 (although following a successful Judicial Review the decision was remitted to the Council <u>quashed</u>: to date no further decision was been made).</i>	Wording amended for clarity.
135	Paragraph 9.72	Amend paragraph 9.72 as follows: <i>The site is partially contained from wider views by the boundary trees but there are more localised views into the site. There is an existing Tree Preservation Order (TPO 312) along the western and southern boundaries. Development of the site would require the protection of existing trees and hedgerows, including suitable buffers and provide for additional landscaping. Regard should be made to the potential future redevelopment of the Cemetery Lodge site, to the east of the allocation, in development layout plans.</i>	Paragraph 9.72 states that regard should be made to the potential future redevelopment of the Cemetery Lodge Site in development layout plans. However it is considered that this reference is not necessary and should be removed.
136	Policy BEX6	Additional wording to part (v) of the policy: (v) at least two forms of appropriate ‘Sustainable	To demonstrate beyond reasonable scientific doubt that proposals can be delivered without harming the integrity of the Pevensey Levels

		Drainage' are incorporated in accordance with Policy DEN5 'Sustainable Drainage' and an <u>Appropriate Assessment under the Habitats Regulations demonstrates beyond reasonable scientific doubt that these can be delivered on the site without harming the integrity of the Pevensey Levels Special Area of Conservation/RAMSAR site.</u>	should be applied to this site which would be consistent with Policy BEX9 and BEX10.
161	Paragraph 9.162	Proposed change: <i>9.162 There are significant level differences across the site, falling from Beeching Road in the west towards the eastern boundary. There is a <u>are two culverts</u> which runs through the site which would have a bearing on the siting of new buildings, requiring an 8 metre easement from <u>each side of the culverts</u>. A large proportion of the site suffers from surface water flooding and therefore Sustainable Drainage (SuDS) would need to be incorporated in a redevelopment scheme.</i>	Text amended to reflect that there are two culverts which run through the site.
161	Policy BEX14	Proposed change: <i>xi) an 8 metre built development exclusion zone from <u>either side</u> the main river culverts which passes through the site, is achieved to allow access to the existing outfall;</i>	Policy amended to reflect that there are two culverts which run through the site.
161	Policy BEX14	Proposed change: <i>(i) provides 2,000sqm of convenience floorspace <u>(net)</u> and provides only food ancillary retail sales, and excludes a pharmacy and any other form of service outlet which might undermine the vitality and</i>	The policy should refer to the floorspace as a net figure, which is in line with the findings of the Retail Capacity Study for Bexhill-on-Sea Report.

		<i>viability of the town centre;</i>	
161	Paragraph 10.3	<p>Noted. A minor amendment is proposed at paragraph 10.3 to refer to waste management rather than waste collection.</p> <p>In the eighth line, change the word “disposal” to “management”, i.e.:</p> <p>The Park will provide access to the countryside, whilst balancing the need to proactively manage wildlife habitats with the scope for a wide range of informal and formal leisure uses. Central to the Park is the restoration of the former land-raise operation for waste disposal management...</p>	To ensure the correct terminology is used.
175	Paragraph 10.5	<p>Proposed change - Add new sentence onto the end of the paragraph:</p> <p><u><i>ESCC is in the process of developing a County wide Cycling & Walking Strategy, which will aim to deliver cycling and walking infrastructure on key corridors of movement between residential areas (including new developments) and key trip attractors, including education, employment, retail and leisure activities.</i></u></p>	To ensure that the ESCC Walking and Cycling Strategy is mentioned within the opening text of the Hastings Fringes chapter.
180	Paragraph 10.22	<p>Proposed additional clarification text at paragraph 10.22:</p> <p><i>The site is adjacent to the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). Residential dwellings to the north are few and set within large plots, thereby representing low density development and maintaining a rural context. Any development</i></p>	To ensure that the supporting text reflects the issue of maintaining water quality in gills.

		<p>would need to be mindful of the AONB countryside to the north and appropriate landscape buffers would need to be incorporated within any potential scheme to respect wider views from the north. <u>There are watercourses which flow north into gills within Park Wood which are vulnerable to changes in water quality. Any impact on the gills will need to be considered within site design.</u> Care should also be taken to respect the relationship with residential properties on the periphery of the site.</p>	
181	Policy HAS2	<p>Proposed amendment of policy criteria (v):</p> <p><i>(v) provision is made for an acceptable connection to the local sewerage system in agreement with the service provider <u>and surface water drainage proposals do not adversely impact on the watercourses which feed into Park Wood.</u></i></p>	To ensure that the effect on the watercourses is highlighted.
182	Paragraph 10.28	<p>Proposed change to insert a clarification within the first sentence of paragraph 10.28 to indicate that the site is located within the High Weald AONB:</p> <p><i>This north-east sloping and undulating area of vacant scrubland is located adjacent to the existing well-established and fully occupied Ivyhouse Lane industrial estate located within Hastings borough <u>and is in the High Weald AONB.</u> The existing industrial estate <u>to the south</u> presents a very “raw” edge to the openness of the adjacent High Weald AONB beyond.</i></p>	To clarify that the site is located within the High Weald AONB, as consistent with Policy DEN2.

183	Policy HAS3	<p>Amend policy criteria (iii) & (iv):</p> <p>(iii) access is provided off Ivyhouse Lane in Hastings Borough;</p> <p>(iv) development does not intrude into views from the <u>wider</u> Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty to the north; and (iv) provision is made for enhanced landscape planting, as indicated on the Detail Map, as part of <u>the wider</u> landscape management of this part of the wider valley, including new and enhanced green infrastructure of the north of the employment site;</p>	Presentational error, and to clarify that the proposed allocation is located within the AONB.
201	Paragraph 11.45	<p>Insert wording at the beginning of paragraph 11.45:</p> <p><i>Additional reinforcement of the sewerage network will be required to service the development and therefore, the developer will need to work with Southern Water to ensure necessary infrastructure is provided prior to occupation.</i></p>	Additional wording required in light of the revised capacity assessment undertaken by Southern Water.
201	Policy BRO2	<p>Insert an additional policy criterion:</p> <p><i>(vi) provision is made for an acceptable connection to the local sewerage system, in agreement with the service provider.</i></p>	Additional criterion required in light of the revised capacity assessment undertaken by Southern Water.
206	Paragraph 11.67	<p>Amend paragraph 11.67 to read:</p> <p><i>In view of the proximity of the site to the international wildlife designations and the potential for additional recreational impacts, the development should ensure that there is no adverse effect on contribute</i></p>	So that the supporting text accords with the amendment to criterion (vii) of Policy CAM1(see below).

		to achieving the SARMS strategy, which may also include financial contributions. the integrity of the SPA/ Ramsar site, with mitigation if necessary, in line with the provisions of the SARMS.	
207	Policy CAM1	Amend criterion (vii) of Policy CAM1 to read: <u>(vii) the development has no adverse effect on the integrity of the adjacent Natura 2000 Sites and supports the implementation of the Sustainable Access and Recreation Management Strategy (SARMS) as appropriate.</u>	To ensure that development has no adverse effect on the integrity of the adjacent Natura 2000 Sites.
208	Paragraph 11.73	Add text to the end of paragraph 11.73: <i>An accessible route to the beach for pedestrians should be retained.</i>	To reinforce the need to retain the provision for an accessible path to the beach.
209	Paragraph 11.75	Replace the last sentence of paragraph 11.75 with: <u>In view of the proximity of the site to the international wildlife designations and the potential for additional recreational impacts, the development should ensure that there is no adverse effect on the integrity of the SPA/ Ramsar site, with mitigation if necessary, in line with the provisions of the SARMS.</u>	So that the supporting text accords with the amendment to criterion (vi) of Policy CAM2 (see below).
210	Policy CAM2	Amend criterion (vi) of Policy CAM2 to read: <u>(vi) the development has no adverse effect on the integrity of the adjacent Natura 2000 Sites and supports the implementation of the Sustainable Access and Recreation Management Strategy (SARMS) as appropriate.</u>	To ensure that development has no adverse effect on the integrity of the adjacent Natura 2000 Sites.

220	11.116	<p>Add the following text to the end of paragraph 11.116:</p> <p><i>A new pedestrian footpath should be provided on the site's south-western boundary alongside Lower Waites Lane. It would be advantageous for additional pedestrian infrastructure on adjoining roads to be provided/improved although this may not be possible due to width and ownership constraints.</i></p>	Additional wording so that the supporting text accords with planning application RR/2017/457/P.
245	Paragraph 11.197	<p>Add a new sentence after the second sentence of paragraph 11.197 to read:</p> <p><i>In view of the proximity of the site to the international wildlife designations and the potential for additional impacts, the development should ensure that there is no adverse effect on the integrity of the SPA/ Ramsar site, with mitigation if necessary, in line with the provisions of the SARMS, referred to in Policy DEN4.</i></p>	To accord with the proposed addition of criterion (vii) to Policy RHA1. (see below)
245	Paragraph 11.200	<p>Add a new sentence to the end of paragraph 11.200 to read:</p> <p><i>An appropriate foul and surface water drainage scheme should include surface water protection measures and, where practicable, elements of SuDS in accordance with Policy DEN5 and taking due account of the high water table and risk of contamination.</i></p>	To accord with the proposed addition of criterion (vii) to Policy RHA1. (see below)
246	Policy RHA1	<p>Add a new criterion (vii) to Policy RHA1 to read:</p> <p><i>(vii) the development has no adverse effect on the</i></p>	To ensure that development has no adverse impact on the adjacent Natura 2000 Sites and supports the implementation of SARMS, in line

		<u>integrity of the adjacent Natura 2000 Sites and supports the implementation of the Sustainable Access and Recreation Management Strategy (SARMS) as appropriate.</u>	with Policy DEN4
248	Paragraph 11.205	Add a new paragraph after paragraph 11.205 to read: <u>11.205a In view of the proximity of the Employment Area to the international wildlife designations and the potential for additional impacts, the development should ensure that there is no adverse effect on the integrity of the SPA/ Ramsar site, with mitigation if necessary, in line with the provisions of the SARMS, referred to in Policy DEN4.</u>	To accord with the proposed amendment of criterion (iv) to Policy RHA2. (see below)
248	Paragraph 11.205	Add a new paragraph after paragraph 11.205a (see above) to read: <u>11.205b Development will also need to include provision for appropriate foul and surface water drainage, having regard to sewer provision and existing levels of contamination. The scheme should include elements of SuDS where practicable, although these will need to be carefully designed having regard to the high water table and risk of underlying contamination.</u>	To accord with the proposed addition of criterion (vii) to Policy RHA2. (see below)
248	Policy RHA2	Amend criterion (iv) to read: <u>(iv) the development has no adverse effect on the integrity of the adjacent Natura 2000 Sites and supports the implementation of the Sustainable</u>	To ensure that development has no adverse impact on the adjacent Natura 2000 Sites and supports the implementation of SARMS, in line with Policy DEN4

		<u>Access and Recreation Management Strategy (SARMS) as appropriate.</u>	
248	Policy RHA2	Add an additional policy criterion as follows: <i>(viii) An appropriate foul and surface water drainage scheme is provided, in accordance with Policy DEN5.</i>	So that the Policy RHA2 addresses the comments of the Environment Agency due to issues of contamination at this site.
258	Paragraph 11.237	Insert wording at the end of paragraph 11.237: <i>The parking area will need to be designed in a way that removes the need for vehicles to reverse out, in the interests of highway safety.</i>	In the interests of clarity and highway safety.
258	Paragraph 11.238	Insert wording at the beginning of paragraph 11.238: <i>Existing boundary trees and hedges should be retained, and enhanced with additional native species planting where necessary, in order to provide screening between the allotment use and adjoining residents and for the benefit of biodiversity.</i>	To accord with proposed policy amendments – proposed criteria (iv). (see below)
259	Policy WES4	Insert an additional policy criterion: <i>(iv) Existing boundary trees and hedges are retained, and additional native species planting is provided to fill in any gaps.</i>	So that the policy ensures adequate screening, as well any potential biodiversity gains.
78 of Appendix 4 of SA	Bexhill site BX133 and Options	Change the scoring against Objective 15 for option 2 (site BX133) from minor negative to major negative.	As a result of further consideration of objectives against SA scoring.

		<p>Amend the final sentence of the commentary for option 2 site BX133 as follows:</p> <p><i>Some positive (Obj 1 & 2) and minor negative (Obj 9-11 & 14-16, 14 & 16)sustainability criteria identified. <u>One major negative criteria identified in respect of Obj 15.</u></i></p>	
112 of Appendix 4 of SA	Fairlight Cove Option FC2a	<p>Change the scoring against Objectives 6 and 7 for site FC2a from neutral to minor positive.</p> <p>Add the following wording to the end of the commentary for site FC2a:</p> <p><i>While it would provide a shop in addition to the uses provided through FC2 (mixed use option) the SA scoring for objectives 6 and 7 are the same as there is an existing shop nearby and its inclusion would not have any significant additional effects in sustainability terms.</i></p>	As a result of further consideration of objectives against SA scoring.
112 of Appendix 4 of SA	Fairlight Cove Option FC2	<p>Change the scoring against Objectives 6 and 7 for site FC2 (Mixed use option – residential, doctor’s surgery and open space) from neutral to minor positive.</p> <p>Amend the second sentence of the commentary for site FC2 (mixed use option) as follows:</p> <p><u>Minor positive effects in economic growth and accessibility terms (obj. 6 & 7) as the development</u></p>	As a result of further consideration of objectives against SA scoring.

		would provide <u>some on-site employment and facilities</u> but it is not directly linked to the core of the village (although this could be mitigated if a pedestrian link provided to Waites Lane).	
113 of Appendix 4 of SA	Fairlight Cove Policy FAC2	<p>Change the scoring against Objective 6 for Policy FAC2 from neutral to minor positive.</p> <p>Add the following sentence to the end of the commentary for Policy FAC2 under the heading “Commentary on Economic Objectives (Primarily 1, 4, 5, 6, 9)”:</p> <p><i>The doctor's surgery will provide some on-site employment (obj. 6).</i></p>	As a result of further consideration of objectives against SA scoring.

Other Modifications Proposed (unrelated to representations received)

Page	Paragraph	Amendment	Reason
7	Site Allocation Policies List	1. Amend the second NOR1 to read NOR2 2. Highlight the heading ' Rye Harbour ' and separate it from policy PEA1.	Typographical error
36	4.23	A minor correction is necessary at paragraph 4.23: delete the word "of" in the second to last line, i.e. "...as well as consideration of how the of setting of optional standards affects viability and delivery of development".	Typographical error
42	4.46	A minor correction is necessary at paragraph 4.46: delete the words "bedded care strategy" in the first last line as this is repeated, i.e. The East Sussex Bedded Care Strategy Bedded Care Strategy & Integrated Estates Strategy 2018 estimates..."	Typographical error
45	4.59	Paragraph 4.59. Amend to: To ensure that self and custom housebuilding is of high quality design, attention is drawn to with the requirements of Core Strategy Policy EN3.	Typographical error
56	4.107	At paragraph 4.107 a close bracket should be inserted after "larger schemes" in the fourth line, i.e.: <i>In some situations (usually for larger schemes) a site access may need to include a separate footway/cycleway.</i>	Typographical error
79	Figure 7	Figure 7: Strategic Gap at Fairlight should be	Cartographical error – To make consistent with

		<p>amended to include The Close, as described in paragraph 6.21.</p> <p>This also applies to Policies Map Inset Map 9: Fairlight Cove, on page 226.</p> <p><u>Please see Appendices 2a & 2b for the amended maps.</u></p>	the supporting text at paragraph 6.21.
85	DEN4	<p>Delete part (ii) of the policy and replace with the following:</p> <p>(ii) development proposals should seek to conserve and enhance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The biodiversity value of international, national, regional and local designated sites of biodiversity and geological value, and irreplaceable habitats (including ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees); - Priority Habitats and Species; and Protected Species, both within and outside designated sites. <p>Depending on the status of habitats and species concerned, this may require locating development on alternative sites that would cause less or no harm, incorporating measures for prevention, mitigation and (in the last resort) compensation.</p>	To improve the English and clarity of the wording.
149	Paragraph 9.119	<p>There is a minor error at paragraph 9.119. Add the word 'to' in the second to last line i.e. "However, a detailed survey will need to be undertaken <u>to</u> review this".</p>	Typographical error

177	Paragraph 10.12	A minor correction is necessary at paragraph 10.12: delete the word “to” in the last line, i.e. “The Park was set up to facilitate the restoration of the landfill areas and to manage to the countryside between the towns”.	Typographical error
194	Paragraph 11.19	Delete the word “west” in the second line and replace with “east”, i.e. “The site is largely enclosed by the existing residential estate to the north and a mature tree belt to the south and west <u>east</u> ,”	Typographical error
202	Figure 45: Policy BRO2 Detail Map	<p>Policy BRO2: Land at the Rainbow Trout public house: It is necessary to make a slight amendment to the extent of the proposed housing area shown on the Detail Map to accord with the details of the planning application (reference RR/2018/1813/P) which was delegated to approve, subject to the completion of a Section 106 Legal Agreement, on 12th February 2019.</p> <p>Amend the western boundary of the northern section of the housing area (shown in orange) on Figure 45 (Policy BRO2 Detail Map) by a marginal amount to accord with drawing no. 1802-P-204_B, submitted pursuant to planning application RR/2018/1813/P.</p> <p>Policies Map Inset Map 6: Broad Oak has also been updated in this regard.</p> <p><u>Please see Appendices 4a & 4b for the amended maps.</u></p>	Cartographical detail required.
281-2	Appendix 1	Add ‘Policy Context’ and ‘Overall Spatial Strategy’	Typographical Error

		<p>policies to Core Strategy Policies list:</p> <p>Policy Context Policy PC1 Presumption in Favour of Sustainable development</p> <p>Overall Spatial Strategy Policy OSS1 Overall Spatial Development Strategy Policy OSS2 Use of Development Boundaries Policy OSS3 Location of Development Policy OSS4 General Development Considerations</p>	
281-2	Appendix 1	Remove the paragraph numbering (14.1-14.12) from Appendix 1 (Core Strategy Policies).	Typographical Error
283-4	Appendix 2	<p>Remove Battle policies from Superseded Local Plan 2006 Policies list:</p> <p>Battle BT2 Land at Blackfriars BT2 Land at North Trade Road</p>	Typographical Error
283-4	Appendix 2	Remove the paragraph numbering (15.1-15.10) from Appendix 2 (Superseded Local Plan 2006 Policies).	Typographical Error